

i

UNITED STATE EPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		A ⁻	TTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/489,85	0 01/24/00	ALSTYNE		D	51916/107
			_ ¬	EXAMINER	
		HM12/0928	3		
Dr. Diane Van Alstyne			•	DUFFY.P	
INSIGHT BIOTEK INC				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
130 Macph No. 23	erson Avenue			1645	
	NI META 41.1				/
Toronto O	N MOK IM			DATE MAILED:	•
CANADA		AIR MAIL			09/28/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No.

Applicant(s)

09/489,850

Examiner

Patricia A. Duffy

Art Unit

1645

Van Alstyne et al



The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address		
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic	R 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed		
 If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory procommunication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by 			
Status			
	·		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) 🔀 This act	ion is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance eclosed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is rte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims			
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>14-25</u>	is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s)	is/are rejected.		
7)	is/are objected to.		
8) 🔀 Claims <u>14-25</u>	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are	objected to by the Examiner.		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved b)□ disapproved.		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	ner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
13) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).		
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have			
application from the International Bure			
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of th	e certified copies not received.		
14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).		
Attachment(s)			
15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).		
16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of Informal Petent Application (PTO-152)		
17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	20) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 09/489,850 Page 2

Art Unit: 1645

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 14, 15, 18, 19, 20, 22 and 23, drawn to a method of protection against *in vivo* challenge by administration of a monoclonal antibody, classified in class 424, subclass 130.1.
 - II. Claims 16, 17, 21, 24 and 25, drawn to a method of treatment of an individual infected with a viral or bacterial agent, classified in class 424, subclass 130.1.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Although there are no provisions under the section "Relation of Inventions" in MPEP 806.05 for inventive groups that are directed to different methods; restriction is deemed proper because these methods appear to constitute patently distinct inventions for the following reasons: The methods are distinct each from the other because they have distinctly different goals as evidenced by their preambles (i.e protection against *in vivo* challenge or "prevention of disease" versus treatment of disease). Moreover, the various monoclonal agents are administered to different patient populations (i.e. uninfected naive individuals versus already infected diseased patients) and require protection from challenge or prevention of a disease. Invention I, therefore

Art Unit: 1645

provides for distinct search and enablement considerations and is therefore distinct from the methods of Invention II.

- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Claims 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 22 and 24 of Inventions I and II set forth above are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising:

Species A - Rubella virus (SEQ ID NOs:1, 5 and 8)

Species B - HIV (SEQ ID NOs:11, 14 and 16)

Species C - H. influnzae (SEQ ID NOs: 17 and 19)

Species D - 5. pneumoniae (SEQ ID NOs: 23 and 25)

Species E - L. monocytogenes (SEQ ID NOs:24 and 26)

Species F - N. meningitides (SEQ ID NO:20)

Species G - chemokine MCP (SEQ ID NOs:35, 37, 38 and 40).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions

Application/Control Number: 09/489,850 Page 4

Art Unit: 1645

unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).
- 7. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this general application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Papers relating to this application may be submitted to Technology Center 1600, Group 1640 by facsimile transmission. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). Should applicant wish to FAX a response, the current FAX number for Group 1600 is (703) 308-4242.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patricia A. Duffy, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (703) 305-7555. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Saturday from 10:00 AM to 6:30 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynette Smith, can be reached at (703) 308-3909.

Art Unit: 1645

Patricia A. Duffy, Ph.D. September 26, 2001

Patricia A. Duffy, Ph.D. Primary Examiner
Group 1600